Tetrahedron:
Asymmetry

# A convenient synthesis of the enantiomerically pure $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-blocker ( $S$ )-betaxolol using hydrolytic kinetic resolution 

Ramesh A. Joshi,* Dinesh R. Garud, M. Muthukrishnan, Rohini R. Joshi and M. K. Gurjar*<br>Division of Organic Chemistry: Technology, National Chemical Laboratory, Pune 411 008, India

Received 24 August 2005; accepted 22 October 2005


#### Abstract

Enantiopure ( $S$ )-betaxolol was prepared in an extremely simple and practical way using hydrolytic kinetic resolution of a terminal epoxide by Jacobsen's catalyst. High enantiomeric purity ( $99 \%$ ee) has been achieved and the method is amenable to industrial scale-up. © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.


## 1. Introduction

$\beta$-Adrenergic antagonists ( $\beta$-blockers) ${ }^{1}$ are an important class of drugs due to their prevalent use in the treatment of cardiovascular disorders such as hypertension, cardiac arrhythmia, angina pectoris and open angle glaucoma. ${ }^{2}$ The principle effect of the $\beta$-adrenoreceptor blocker is to reduce cardiac activity by diminishing or preventing $\beta$-adrenoreceptor stimulation. The aryloxypropanol amine ${ }^{3}$ class of drugs have a specific action on the cardiovascular receptor sites and most of the drugs in this series contain one stereogenic carbon centre, but are generally administered as racemates. The biological activity in a racemic drug often resides one single enantiomer. For instance betaxolol, ${ }^{4}$ an important drug falling in this category whose $(S)$-isomer is associated with $\beta$-blocking activity while the $(R)$-isomer is responsible for side effects. ${ }^{5}$ The synthesis of drugs in their enantiomerically pure form becomes very important for the pharmaceutical industries, ${ }^{6}$ due to increased demand for more effective, safer single isomers.

To the best of our knowledge, there are only two reports on the asymmetric synthesis of ( $S$ )-betaxolol $\mathbf{1}$, one is a lipase catalysed chemoenzymatic route ${ }^{7}$ and the other involves asymmetric synthesis starting from oxazolidinone derivative. ${ }^{8 a}$ In the chemoenzymatic method, the enantiomeric excess of $(S)$-betaxolol was $82 \%$, which

[^0]was further improved to $91 \%$ ee after crystallising its hydrochloride salt. On the other hand, asymmetric synthesis using an oxazolidinone derivative has limited utility as the oxazolidinone derivative preparation itself is cumbersome and involves multi steps. ${ }^{8 b}$ In continuation of our work on improving the process for the preparation of ( $S$ )-betaxolol hydrochloride for industrial applications, ${ }^{9}$ herein, we report a simple and practical process for the asymmetric synthesis of $(S)$-betaxolol (Fig. 1, Schemes 1 and 2) using hydrolytic kinetic resolution (HKR) method, ${ }^{10}$ which was introduced by Jacobsen et al. ${ }^{11}$ This approach provides high enantioselectivity and is extremely simple compared to other approaches.

## 2. Results and discussion

The synthesis commenced from the commercially available 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl) ethanol 2. 2-(4-Hydroxyphenyl) ethanol 2 was treated with benzyl bromide in THF using KOH as a base and a catalytic amount of phase transfer catalyst at ambient temperature to obtain the regioselective $O$-alkylated 2-(4-benzyloxyphenyl) ethanol 3 in $90 \%$ yield. The resulted benzylated compound 3 was condensed with allyl bromide in the presence of $\mathrm{KO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ in DMSO to provide 1-(2-allyloxy-ethyl)-4-benzyloxy benzene $\mathbf{4}$ in $98 \%$ yield. Cyclopropanation of the terminal olefin of compound 4 was achieved by the Furukawa modification ${ }^{12}$ of SimmonSmith reaction, ${ }^{13}$ that is, compound 4 on treatment with $15 \%$ hexane solution of diethyl zinc and diiodomethane at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave compound 5 in $95 \%$ yield. Subsequently,
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Figure 1.


Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) benzyl bromide, $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{THF}, 90 \%$; (b) allyl bromide, $\mathrm{KO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 98 \%$; (c) diethyl zinc, diiodomethane, hexane, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 95 \%$; (d) Raney nickel, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, 65 \mathrm{psi}, 86 \%$; (e) allyl bromide, $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{THF}, 95 \%$; (f) $\mathrm{mCPBA}-\mathrm{DCM}, 75 \%$.
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Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) ( $R, R$ )-salen $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$-A; (b) isopropylamine, $\mathrm{DCM}, 76 \%$.
debenzylation of compound $\mathbf{5}$ was carried out by hydrogenation over Raney-Nickel catalyst in methanol to afford debenzylated compound 6 in $86 \%$ yield. The phenolic hydroxyl group of compound $\mathbf{6}$ was allylated
with allyl bromide in THF using KOH as base to give compound 7, which was further treated with meta-chloroperbenzoic acid ( $m \mathrm{CPBA}$ ) in dichloromethane at ambient condition to afford epoxide $\mathbf{8}$. The epoxide $\mathbf{8}$ was a
free-floating liquid and was suitable to apply to the hydrolytic kinetic resolution method.

The hydrolytic kinetic resolution of racemic epoxide $\mathbf{8}$ was performed with Jacobsen catalyst $(R, R)$ (salen $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III}) \mathrm{OAc})(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and water ( 0.55 equiv) at room temperature for 16 h and the reaction was monitored by HPLC (SS-Wakosil; UV: $225 \mathrm{~nm}, 90 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was chromatographed over silica gel column to give the selective $(S)$-epoxide 9 from the racemic mixture in $43 \%$ yield and $99 \%$ ee $\left\{[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+2.05\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right\}$ and $(R)$-diol 10 in $47 \%$ yield and $92 \%$ ee. The enantiomeric excess of all the chiral compounds was determined by HPLC using chiral column Chiralcel OD.

Subsequently, $(S)$-epoxide 9 was treated with an excess amount of $N$-isopropyl amine in dichloromethane at ambient temperature for 30 h afforded crude ( $S$ )-betaxolol which was further purified over silica gel column chromatography afforded pure ( $S$ )-betaxolol in excellent enantioselectivity $(99 \%$ ee $)[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-7.13\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ $\left\{\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{7}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-5.4\left(c \quad 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right\}$. The structure of $(S)$ betaxolol was confirmed by its IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, HPLC, elemental analysis and mass spectroscopy. Alternatively, the crude ( $S$ )-betaxolol can be purified by making its maleate salt $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-14.1$ (c 2.4, $\mathrm{MeOH})\left\{\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{8 \mathrm{a}}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-14.9(c 2.4, \mathrm{MeOH})\right\}$ and hydrochloride salt $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-13.5\left(c 2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 3. Conclusion

In summary, the asymmetric synthesis of $(S)$-betaxolol with high enantioselectivity has been achieved. The main advantages of the process being high enantioselectivity, the ready availability of the catalyst and use of water ( 0.55 equiv) as the medium and reactant. Moreover, the Jacobsen catalyst can be regenerated by treating with acetic acid and recycled. ${ }^{10 \mathrm{a}}$ We envisage that this simple and efficient process may find application in the pharmaceutical industry for the large scale production of ( $S$ )-betaxolol. Application of this simple protocol to asymmetric synthesis of other $\beta$-blockers is currently ongoing in our group.

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. General

Melting points were measured on a BUCHI Melting Point B-540 melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a BRUKER 200 and 500 MHz NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts were given in parts per million (ppm). Spectra were obtained in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Elemental analysis was recorded using Karlo-Erba elemental analyser. Monitoring of reactions was carried out using TLC plates Merck Silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ and visualisation with UV light ( 254 and 365 nm ), $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ and anisaldehyde in ethanol as development reagents. Optical rotations were measured with a JASCO DIP 370 digital polarimeter. IR spectra were
obtained from Perkin-Elmer 68515 PC-FTIR spectrophotometer. GCMS analysis was carried out using SHIMADZU-QP5050 system. HPLC analysis were performed on 'SHIMADZU SCL-10A. unit' system controller and UV monitor as detector. Chiral compounds were analysed on Daicel Chiralcel OD column and reaction monitoring was performed on SS-Wakosil column. All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial suppliers and were used as such without further purification.

### 4.2. 2-(4-Benzyloxyphenyl) ethanol 3

To a solution of 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl) ethanol 2 ( 10 g , 0.073 mol ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 65 ml ) were successively added potassium hydroxide ( $6.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.109 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and catalytic amount of tetrabutylammonium bromide $(0.15 \mathrm{~g})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for 1.5 h . Benzyl bromide $(8.6 \mathrm{ml}, 0.073 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added dropwise to the reaction mixture and continued the stirring for 5 h . Filtered the solid, washed the solid with THF and concentrated the filtrate under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with EtOAc, washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to obtain crude product, which was recrystallised from petroleum ether to yield $3(14.9 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$. Mp $85-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Neat): v 3294, 3187, 2924, 2855, $1609,1580,1514,1453,1382,1239,1054,1012$, $829 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.80(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.91(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.30-7.45 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 157.38$, 136.99, 130.69, 129.91, 128.48, 127.80, 127.36, 114.87, 69.92, 63.66, 38.17; MS m/z: $228\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 78.92; H, 7.06. Found: C, 79.14; H, 7.32.

### 4.3. 1-(2-Allyloxyethyl)-4-benzyloxy benzene 4

A solution of 2-(4-benzyloxyphenyl) ethanol 3 ( 12 g , $0.052 \mathrm{~mol}), \quad \mathrm{KO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}(8.84 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 0.079 \mathrm{~mol})$ in DMSO ( 50 ml ) was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 30 min . Allyl bromide ( $6.7 \mathrm{ml}, 0.079 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture at temperature about $20-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was then stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was subsequently quenched by the addition of water ( 150 ml ) and extracted with toluene. The toluene layer was washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, pet. ether/EtOAc $=98 / 2$ ) to provide 4 ( $13.8 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ). IR (Neat): v 3064, 2933, 2860, 1611, 1511, 1454, 1240, 1176, 1099, 1025, 923, $827 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06$ (s, 2H), $5.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $17.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 157.21,137.07,134.77,131.18$, 129.77, 128.46, 127.80, 127.37, 116.76, 114.65, 71.76, 71.36, 69.89, 35.38; MS m/z: $268\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 80.56; H, 7.51. Found: C, $80.34 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.71 .

### 4.4. 1-Benzyloxy-4-(2-cyclopropylmethoxyethyl) benzene 5

To a stirred solution of compound $4(12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.045 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry hexane ( 50 ml ), diethyl zinc ( 1.1 M solution in hexane, 185 ml ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ followed by diiodomethane ( $18 \mathrm{ml}, 0.224 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h and poured over cold aqueous solution of ammonium chloride. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted repeatedly with diethyl ether. The combined organic layer was washed with aq solution of sodium thiosulfate, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, pet. ether/ $\mathrm{EtOAc}=99 / 1$ ) to afford compound $5(12 \mathrm{~g}$, 95\%). IR (Neat): v 3065, 2931, 2859, 1611, 1583, 1511, 1454, 1380, 1336, 1240, 1176, 1096, 1020, 929, $829 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, \quad J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 157.24,137.15,131.36,129.68,128.36$, 127.66, 127.24, 114.69, 75.35, 71.63, 69.92, 35.40, 10.53, 2.84; MS m/z: $282\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 80.82; H, 7.85. Found: C, 80.64; H, 7.55.

### 4.5. 4-(2-Cyclopropylmethoxy ethyl) phenol 6

A solution of compound $5(12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.062 \mathrm{~mol})$ in methanol ( 100 ml ) was stirred over Raney-Nickel ( 10 ml slurry) under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ pressure (Parr Shaker; 65-psi pressure) for 5 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and the filtrate was concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, dichloromethane) to yield compound 6 ( $7 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ). IR (Neat): v 3329, 3081, 2934, 2864, 1614, 1595, 1516, 1447, 1379, 1265, 1230, 1083, 930, $829 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 154.31$, 130.27, 129.85, 115.26, 75.72, 71.84, 35.20, 10.44, 3.06; MS m/z: $192\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}$, 74.97; H, 8.39. Found: C, 74.99; H, 8.54.

### 4.6. 1-Allyloxy-4-(2-cyclopropylmethoxy ethyl) benzene 7

To a solution of 4-(2-cyclopropylmethoxyethyl) phenol $6(10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.052 \mathrm{~mol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(65 \mathrm{ml})$ were successively added potassium hydroxide ( $3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.067 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and catalytic amount tetra butyl ammonium bromide $(0.15 \mathrm{~g})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 1.5 h . Allyl bromide ( 6.8 ml , 0.078 mol ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture and continued the stirring for 6 h . Filtered the solid, washed the solid with THF and concentrated the filtrate under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with EtOAc, washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to obtain crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, pet. ether/ $\mathrm{EtOAc}=90 / 10)$ to yield compound $7(11.5 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%)$.

IR (Neat): v 3080, 2934, 2859, 1648, 1611, 1583, 1511, 1425, 1378, 1241, 1177, 1097, 1021, 927, $827 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 156.96, 133.36, 131.10, 129.70, 117.42, 114.52, 75.52, $71.75,68.71,35.39,10.54,2.90 ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 232\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 77.55; H, 8.68. Found: C, 77.83; H, 8.90.

### 4.7. 2-[4-(2-Cyclopropylmethoxy ethyl) phenoxymethyl] oxirane 8

To an ice-cooled solution of allyloxy compound 7 ( 10 g , $0.043 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{ml})$ meta-chloroperbenzoic acid ( $11.48 \mathrm{~g}, 0.065 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added in portions for a period of 30 min . After the addition of $m \mathrm{CPBA}$, cooling was removed and continued stirring at room temperature for 24 h . The reaction mixture was diluted by adding dichloromethane ( 50 ml ) and washed with dilute $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, followed by water. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, pet. ether/EtOAc $=90 / 10$ ) to yield compound 8 ( $8 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ). IR (Neat): v 3078, 3004, 2928, 2861, 1723, 1612, 1583, 1512, 1454, 1378, 1297, 1243, 1179, 1096, 1037, 937, $828 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.06$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.88-2.93 (m, 1H), $3.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.36$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1$, $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=8.2,2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 156.84,131.53,129.76,114.42,75.50,71.63$, $68.65,50.09,44.59,35.32,10.48,2.88$; MS m/z: 248 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, $72.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.12$. Found: C, 72.70; H, 8.42.

## 4.8. (S)-2 [4-(2-Cyclopropylmethoxy ethyl) phenoxymethyl] oxirane 9

A mixture of racemic epoxide $8(10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.04 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $(R, R) \quad$ salen $\quad \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III}) \mathrm{OAc} \quad$ complex-A $\quad(0.130 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.00022 mol ) were vigorously stirred for 15 min . Then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and added water ( $0.4 \mathrm{ml}, 0.022 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) over a period of 1 h , through syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature and monitored by HPLC (SS Wakosil-column) UV: $225 \mathrm{~nm}, 90 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc , dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, pet. ether/EtOAc $=70 / 30$ ). The less polar epoxide 9 eluted first as colourless liquid, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+2.05$ ( c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ee $>99 \%$ [chiral HPLC analysis; DAICEL CHIRALCEL OD $(0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm})$ column; eluent: hexane/isopropanol $=97.5 / 2.5 ;$ flow rate: $1.0 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$; detector: $254 \mathrm{~nm}\left(t_{R}=9.25 \mathrm{~min}\right),\left(t_{S}=10.25 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ ]; followed by the diol 10, ee $92 \%$ [chiral HPLC analysis; DAICEL CHIRALCEL OD $(0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm})$ column; eluent: hexane/isopropanol $=97.5 / 2.5$; flow rate:
$0.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$; detector: $254 \mathrm{~nm} \quad\left(t_{R}=18.52 \mathrm{~min}\right)$, $\left.\left(t_{S}=21.55 \mathrm{~min}\right)\right] ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.21$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.75(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26$ $(\mathrm{d}, \quad J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \quad 3.28-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, ~ 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad 2 \mathrm{H}), \quad 3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 156.79,131.3,129.68,114.28,75.43$, $71.55,70.38,68.88,63.47,35.20,10.41,2.85$; MS m/z: $266\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 67.64 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.33. Found: C, 67.27; H, 8.51.

### 4.9. Preparation of (S)-betaxolol 1

To a solution of $(S)$-epoxide $9(3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.012 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dichloromethane ( 5 ml ) isopropyl amine ( $10.3 \mathrm{ml}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added slowly. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 h at room temperature and the isopropyl amine was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, dichloromethane $/ \mathrm{MeOH}=95 / 5$ ) to afford the pure $(S)$-betaxolol $1(2.82 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $-7.13\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left\{\right.$ lit. $\left.^{7}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-5.4\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right\}$; ee $>99 \%$ [chiral HPLC analysis; DAICEL CHIRALCEL OD $(0.46 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm})$ column; eluent: hexane/isopropanol/ diethylamine $=60 / 40 / 0.1$; flow rate: $0.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$; detector: $\left.228 \mathrm{~nm} \quad\left(t_{R}=8.53 \mathrm{~min}\right), \quad\left(t_{S}=10.61 \mathrm{~min}\right)\right]$; IR (Neat): v 3327, 3045, 2981, 2866, 1611, 1585, 1512, 1472, 1383, 1298, 1243, 1216, 1178, 1092, 930, 828, $756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.83-2.93 (m, 3H), $3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H},), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-4.02$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 156.93,131.03,129.54$, 114.17, 75.31, 71.53, 70.59, 68.16, 49.49, 48.68, 35.0, 22.66, 10.36, 2.75; MS m/z: $307\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 70.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.51 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.56$. Found: C, 69.91 ; H, $9.74 \mathrm{~N}, 4.15$.

### 4.10. Preparation of ( $S$ )-betaxolol hydrochloride

To an ice cooled solution of crude $(S)$-betaxolol $1(2.5 \mathrm{~g})$ in toluene $(15 \mathrm{ml}) \mathrm{HCl}$ in isopropanol $(10 \%, 5 \mathrm{ml})$ was added dropwise under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and stirred the reaction mixture for 1.5 h . Concentrated the reaction mixture under reduced pressure and fresh toluene ( 5 ml ) was added and stirred for 15 min . This process was repeated twice. Finally, evaporated the solvent completely and ( $S$ )-betaxolol hydrochloride was precipitated by the addition of diethyl ether. The precipitated ( $S$ )-betaxolol hydrochloride was filtered under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere ( $1.96 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ) mp $92-93^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit..$^{7} \mathrm{mp} 115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-13.5 \quad\left(c \quad 2, \quad \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 62.87 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.79 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 10.31 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.07$. Found: C, 62.56; H, 8.65; Cl, 10.20; N, 3.97.

### 4.11. Preparation of maleate salt of ( $S$ )-betaxolol

To a solution of crude $(S)$-betaxolol ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.007 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in diethyl ether ( 15 ml ) maleic acid $(0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.005 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Stirred for 2 h . The maleate salt was isolated by filtration ( $1.96 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ ) mp 96$97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. $\left.^{8} \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{96-97}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-14.1\left(c 2.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ $\left\{\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{8}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-14.9\left(c 2.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)\right\}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{7}: \mathrm{C}, 62.39 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.85 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.31$. Found: C, 62.18; H, 7.64; N, 3.72.
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