The title compound [systematic name: 8-chloro-11-(piperidin-4-ylidene)-6,11-dihydro-5H-benzo[4,5]cyclohepta[2,1-b]pyridine], C_{19}H_{19}ClN_{2}, was crystallized from ethyl acetate. The interesting feature of the reported structure is that it does not contain any strong hydrogen bonds, although the molecule contains a secondary NH group, which is a good hydrogen-bond donor.

Comment

Desloratadine, (I), is a tricyclic antihistamine and is used to treat allergies. It is sold under brand names such as Clarinex and Aerius. It has a long-lasting effect and does not cause drowsiness because it does not readily enter the central nervous system. Desloratadine is an active metabolite of loratadine, which is also on the market. It is 10–20 times more potent as an antihistamine than loratadine. The solid-state chemistry of active pharmaceutical ingredients (API) is of both academic and applied interest and is concerned with the identification and characterization of different solid forms of APIs and their use in formulations.

The most interesting feature of the crystal structure of (I) is that the piperidine NH group is not hydrogen bonded in a conventional sense (N—H· · ·N), although there are two N-atom acceptors in the molecule. A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.27 of November 2005; Allen, 2002) was carried out for entries which contain only N—H as a hydrogen-bond donor and where this N—H is not hydrogen bonded to any acceptor. The constraints used in this search were as follows: R < 0.05, no errors, not polymeric, no ions, only organics. 543 hits were obtained. However, analysis showed that, in almost all these cases, the NH group is sterically hindered. It is therefore surprising that in the case of desloratadine, which has a sterically unhindered NH group, no hydrogen bonds are formed. The reason for this may lie in the awkward shape of the molecule. This reasoning was supported with a computational study using POLYMORPH PREDICTOR (Accelrys, 2003). The polymorph prediction was carried out with the DREIDING2.21 force field in five space groups, viz. P_{2_1}, C_{2x}, P_{2_1}, P_{2_1}x and P_{2_1}x. Except in C_{2x}, the most stable structure predicted was very similar to...
the experimental structure, in that no N–H···N hydrogen bond is present. Crystal structure prediction in the experimental space group ($P2_1$) gave the most stable structure, which was identical to the experimental structure. This is a good validation of the force field.

Desiraju (2002) has discussed a similar issue in the article entitled ‘Bond free’ with respect to the literature example of the oxalic acid–phthalocyanine complex (Liu et al., 2002) and also alloxan (Coombes et al., 1997; Beyer et al., 2001). He concluded that crystal structures are determined by an interplay of both space filling and hydrogen bonding, such that the free energy is a minimum, and that the very occasional appearance of a crystal structure where sterically unhindered $X–H$ groups do not form strong $X–H···A$ interactions is a statistical issue, brought about by the fact that a very large number of crystal structures of small organic molecules are being determined today.

To date, two polymorphs of desloratadine have been reported in a patent application (Toth et al., 2004), but no crystal structure data are available. A comparison of the powder X-ray spectrum given in the patent and that simulated from the single-crystal data of the present study showed that the single crystal obtained by us corresponds to form I of desloratadine. We feel it is possible to realise experimentally the hydrogen-bonded structure obtained computationally in the $C2/c$ space group because it is only 8.4 kJ mol$^{-1}$ per molecule less stable than the experimental $P2_1$ structure reported here.

**Experimental**

Commercially available desloratadine (100 mg) was dissolved in ethyl acetate (10 ml). The solution was filtered and the filtrate was allowed to crystallize by slow evaporation over a period of 2 d. Plate-like crystals of (I) were obtained from the solution and used for single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies.

**Crystal data**

$C_{19}H_{19}ClN_{2}$

$M_r = 310.81$

Monoclinic, $P2_1$

$a = 6.9336 (12)$ Å

$b = 11.998 (2)$ Å

$c = 9.4691 (16)$ Å

$\beta = 107.365 (2)^\circ$

$V = 751.8 (2)$ Å$^3$

$Z = 2$

$D_x = 1.373$ Mg m$^{-3}$

Mo Kα radiation

$\mu = 0.25$ mm$^{-1}$

$T = 100 (2)$ K

Plate, colourless

$0.47 \times 0.24 \times 0.11$ mm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$D–H$ ··· $A$</th>
<th>$D–H$ ··· $H$ ··· $A$</th>
<th>$D–H$ ··· $A$</th>
<th>$D–H$ ··· $A$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.940 (18)</td>
<td>2.485 (18)</td>
<td>3.357 (3)</td>
<td>154.4 (18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+2, y-\frac{1}{2}, z$.

**Data collection**

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer

$\omega$ and $\omega$ scans

4424 measured reflections

2661 independent reflections

2550 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{int} = 0.023$

$\theta$ max = 26.0°

**Refinement**

Refinement on $F^2$

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$

$wR(F^2) = 0.075$

$S = 1.05$

$2661$ reflections

$208$ parameters

$H$ atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

Absolute structure: Flack (1983), with 1106 Friedel pairs

Flack parameter: 0.04 (5)

Atoms H1 and H11 of NH and CH groups, respectively, were located in difference Fourier maps and refined isotropically. All other H atoms were generated with idealized geometry and included in the refinement using a riding model, with C–H = 0.93 Å and $U_{mol}$(H) = 1.2$U_{eq}$(C) for aromatic H atoms, and C–H = 0.97 Å and $U_{mol}$(H) = 1.2$U_{eq}$(C) for methylene H atoms. The Flack (1983) parameter was determined using a BASF/TWIN type of refinement and 1106 Friedel pairs were used for the analysis.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: SMART; data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 1999); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.
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