

Letter to the Editor

Safety of Cryogen Spray Cooling During Pulsed Laser Treatment of Selected Dermatoses

The novel method of achieving spatially selective photocoagulation with “dynamic” also known as cryogen spray cooling (CSC) is well established and is being used for the laser treatment of patients with selected dermatoses [1–6] and in laser surgery in general [7,8]. Recently, concerns have been raised at symposia and in commercially distributed literature with respect to possible adverse effects of using cryogens. In this letter, we address the general issue of safety of 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane (HFC-134a; $C_2H_2F_4$; MW = 102 g/mol; DuPont, Wilmington, DE) as a cooling agent for cutaneous laser surgery.

We chose HFC-134a in our initial study of CSC [1] to comply with the Montreal Protocol and the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) directive dealing with the replacement of chlorofluorocarbons as commercial refrigerants, which deplete atmospheric ozone and contribute to global heating [9]. HFC-134a is nonflammable at ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure, FDA approved for dermatologic use, and commercially available in a medical grade formulation.

As far as inherent toxicology is concerned, it has been abundantly proven in preclinical studies that HFC-134a is safe at very high multiples of likely human exposure [10,11]. In a study of 337 asthmatic patients receiving HFC-134a propelled albuterol from a metered dose inhaler over a 1-year period, only four subjects reported adverse symptoms consisting of diarrhea or dizziness [12]. A second concern has been frostbite, which is of minor importance for CSC because the liquid cryogen is in contact with human skin for less than 0.5 sec [1–8]. Another concern expressed is that HFC-134a will displace air and diminish the oxygen content in the room where it is released and, ultimately, cause suffocation. This displacement is more serious because the density of HFC-134a is 3.6 times higher than that of air. However, in this connection, the more important consideration is the relative quantity of released HFC-134a versus air. At the Beckman Laser Institute and Medical Clinic, University of California, Ir-

vine, a canister (Candela Laser Corporation, Wayland, MA) of HFC-134a (net weight 450 g) is usually sufficient for 40 laser procedures. Thus, for each patient, approximately $450\text{ g}/40 = 11.25\text{ g}$ HFC-134a is released. This corresponds to 2.5 L of HFC-134a ($11.25/102 = 0.11\text{ mol} = 2.5\text{ L}$ at room temperature), which is negligible ($<70\text{ ppm}$) when compared with the total volume of 36,000 L air in a mid-sized room (e.g., $3 \times 4 \times 3\text{ m}^3$). In fact, air expired by three persons (physician, nurse, and patient; 6 L/person/min, which contains 0.36% CO_2) contains 2 L CO_2 (assuming a treatment duration of 30 min), which is comparable to the amount of air displaced by HFC-134a. Even in a worst-case scenario, if the canister or its valve were damaged and the entire content (100 L HFC-134a) released inadvertently into a room with standard ventilation, exposure would not even approach the acceptable exposure limit of 1,000 ppm/12 hr for humans (Material Safety Data Sheet, DuPont). From these considerations, it may be concluded that HFC-134a is a safe cooling agent for cutaneous laser surgery.

J. Stuart Nelson, MD, PhD
Beckman Laser Institute and Medical Clinic
Departments of Surgery and Dermatology
University of California, Irvine
Irvine, California 92612

Sol Kimel, PhD
Department of Chemistry
Technion—Israel Institute of Technology
Haifa, Israel

REFERENCES

1. Nelson JS, Milner TE, Anvari B, Tanenbaum BS, Kimel S, Svaasand LO, Jacques SL. Dynamic epidermal cooling during pulsed laser treatment of port-wine stain. *Arch Dermatol* 1995;131:695–700.
2. Nelson JS, Milner TE, Anvari B, Tanenbaum BS, Svaasand LO, Kimel S. Dynamic epidermal cooling in conjunction with laser-induced photothermolysis of port wine stain blood vessels. *Lasers Surg Med* 1996;19:224–229.
3. Waldorf HA, Alster TS, McMillan K, Kauvar ANB, Geronemus RG, Nelson JS. Effect of dynamic cooling on

- 585 nm pulsed dye laser treatment of port wine stain birthmarks. *Dermatol Surg* 1997;23:657–662.
4. Cheng CJ, Nelson JS. Cryogen spray cooling and higher fluence pulsed dye laser treatment improve port wine stain clearance while minimizing epidermal damage. *Dermatol Surg* 1999;25:767–772.
 5. Kelly KM, Nelson JS, Lask GP, Geronemus RG, Bernstein LJ. Cryogen spray cooling in combination with non-ablative laser treatment of facial rhytides. *Arch Dermatol* 1999;135:691–694.
 6. Fiskerstrand EJ, Norvang LT, Svaasand LO. Laser treatment of port-wine stains: reduced pain and shorter duration of purpura by epidermal cooling. *Proc SPIE* 1996;2922:20–28.
 7. Wang Z, McMillan K, Perrault DF, Nemati B, Carkner E, Rebeiz EE, Shapshay SM. Laser soft-palate stiffening. *Proc SPIE* 1998;3245:136–144.
 8. Fried NM, Walsh JT. Dynamic cooling during laser skin welding. *Proc SPIE* 1999;3590:128–133.
 9. Kanakidou M, Dentener RJ, Crutzen PJ. A global three-dimensional study of the fate of HCFCs and HFC-134a in the troposphere. *J Geophys Res* 1995;100:18781–18801.
 10. Alexander DJ. Safety of propellants. *J Aerosol Med* 1995;8:S29–S34.
 11. Alexander DJ, Libretto SE. An overview of the toxicology of HFA-134a (1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane). *Hum Exp Toxicol* 1995;14:715–720.
 12. Ramsdell JW, Klinger NM, Ekholm BP, Colice GL. Safety of long-term treatment with HFA albuterol. *Chest* 1999;115:945–951.