
EXPERIMENTAL METHODS FOR CLINICAL PRACTICE

Study of the Correlation between Clinical Efficiency of Impaza and Serum ADMA Level

E. S. Zhavbert, S. A. Tarasov, J. L. Dugina, S. A. Sergeeva, S. I. Gamidov, E. B. Mazo, and O. I. Epstein

Translated from *Byulleten' Eksperimental'noi Biologii i Meditsiny*, Vol. 145, No. 3, pp. 342-344, March, 2008
Original article submitted January 16, 2008

Correlation between superlow-dose antibodies to endothelial NO synthase (Impaza) and serum level of ADMA was evaluated in a double blind placebo-controlled study. The reduction of ADMA in patients with erectile dysfunction after impaza treatment was paralleled by improvement of clinical symptoms. No clear-cut correlation between ADMA level and impaza effect was detected.

Key Words: *erectile dysfunction; superlow-dose antibodies to endothelial NO synthase; ADMA*

Erectile dysfunction (ED) is a multifactorial chronic disease of complex etiology; one of its causes is endothelial dysfunction [5] characterized by impairment of homeostasis between vasodilating and vasoconstrictor factors [8]. Impairment of NO synthesis, reduction of NO bioavailability as a result of its reduced production in the presence of low endothelial NO synthase (eNOS) activity are among the key pathological mechanisms of endothelial and erectile dysfunction development [3,8]. Recent findings indicate that poor activity of eNOS is explained by high blood concentration of endogenous eNOS competitive inhibitor, asymmetrical dimethyl-L-arginine (ADMA) [1,6]. ADMA is a guanidine-substituted L-arginine analog, but there is no data indicating ADMA formation directly from free L-arginine. ADMA forms as a result of posttranslational protein methylation and their subsequent hydrolysis [7]. About 300 μmol ADMA forms daily in humans, 250 μmol is metabolized by dimethyl-arginine dimethylaminohydrolase [1,7]. The effect of ADMA on eNOS activity was noted in some

diseases (diabetes, renal insufficiency, cardiovascular diseases). Changed concentration of ADMA can serve as an additional factor provoking the development of ED [8].

The results of preclinical and clinical studies of impaza, a preparation containing superlow-dose antibodies to endothelial NO synthase (C12+C30+C200), indicate that this preparation can restore the erectile function [4]. It was shown that drug injection led to an increase in the content of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) in cavernous bodies at the expense of recovery of adequate production of NO in tissues [2].

We studied the relationship between clinical efficiency of impaza and changes in ADMA level.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Double blind 12-week placebo-controlled study was carried out in 60 patients (30 in impaza and 30 in placebo groups) with mild or medium severe ED (the erectile function score 10-25 points according to the International score of erectile function, ISEF) and 17 healthy volunteers without ED (control group). The mean age of patients in the

Materia Medica Holding, Moscow. **Address for correspondence:** nauka@materiamedica.ru. E. S. Zhavbert

TABLE 2. Serum ADMA Levels (μM) in Patients ($M\pm m$)

Group	Initial	After 12 weeks	Initial value D, %
Control ($n=17$)	0.89 \pm 0.14	—	
Impaza ($n=30$)	0.75 \pm 0.08	0.77 \pm 0.04	2.6
Placebo ($n=30$)	0.80 \pm 0.07	0.81 \pm 0.10	1.25

TABLE 3. Relationship between the Dynamics of ADMA Level and Clinical Efficiency ($M\pm m$)

Group, parameter	Patients with ADMA increase vs. initial level	Patients with ADMA decrease vs. initial level
Impaza		
Number of patients	14	16
Age (years)	38.29 \pm 4.11	51.13 \pm 3.28
ED duration (years)	3.46 \pm 0.73	5.80 \pm 1.13
Patient's evaluation of efficiency after 12 weeks, %		
"excellent" or "good"	50.00	81.25
no effect	14.29	6.25
deterioration	14.29	0.00
Physician's evaluation of efficiency after 12 weeks, %		
EF>25	28.57	50.00
EF increment by 3 points	42.86	50.00
no effect	28.57	0.00
Placebo		
Number of patients	11	19
Age (years)	55.82 \pm 3.18	47.79 \pm 2.46
ED duration (years)	5.86 \pm 1.12	8.29 \pm 3.52
Patient's evaluation of efficiency after 12 weeks, %		
"excellent" or "good"	18.18	16.67
no effect	54.55	66.67
deterioration	27.27	11.11
Physician's evaluation of efficiency after 12 weeks, %		
EF>25	9.09	16.67
EF increment by 3 points	9.09	5.56
no effect	81.82	77.78

ADMA concentrations increased from 0.49 \pm 0.09 to 0.78 \pm 0.05 ($p<0.01$) in 14 impaza group patients and decreased from 0.98 \pm 0.10 to 0.76 \pm 0.06 ($p<0.01$) in 16 patients. Eleven placebo group patients developed an increase of this parameter from 0.550 \pm 0.005 to 1.09 \pm 0.23 ($p<0.05$) and 19 demonstrated a decrease from 0.94 \pm 0.09 to 0.64 \pm 0.07 ($p<0.001$).

Analysis of the relationship between impaza and placebo efficiency and changes in ADMA concentrations after 12-week treatment of patients with ED failed to detect a clear-cut correlation between these two parameters, presumably because of involvement of NO-dependent and non-NO-dependent processes in the maintenance of erectile func-

tion [5,8]. In addition, despite an increase in the blood ADMA concentrations observed in many diseases, it is unknown whether the concentration of endogenous ADMA reaches the values sufficient for suppressing NO production. Except for renal insufficiency, there are no proofs that an increase in the blood ADMA level can cause the disease [1].

We conclude that ED is not always linked with an increase of the blood ADMA level, despite a clear-cut correlation of erectile function with ADMA concentration in subjects without ED.

Hence, reduction of ADMA level in patients with ED treated with impaza is associated with positive clinical efficiency of the drug, though no

clear-cut correlation between ADMA level and drug efficiency was detected, as ED is a multifactorial disease and endothelial dysfunction is one of its causes.

REFERENCES

1. M. A. Gilinskii, *Uspekhi Fiziol. Nauk*, **38**, No. 3, 21-39 (2007).
 2. A. V. Martyushev-Poklad and O. I. Epstein, *Poliklinika*, No. 1, 8-9 (2003).
 3. D. V. Nebieridze, *Consil. Med.*, **7**, No. 1, 31-38 (2005).
 4. S. A. Tarasov, Yu. L. Dugina, O. I. Epshtein, and S. A. Sergeyeva, *Signatura*, No. 2, 72-80 (2007).
 5. T. J. Bivalacqua, M. F. Usta, H. C. Champion, *et al.*, *J. Androl.*, **6**, 17-37 (2003).
 6. J. P. Cooke, *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.*, **20**, 2032-2037 (2000).
 7. J. P. Cooke, *Circulation*, **109**, 1813-1818 (2004).
 8. R. Maas, E. Schwedhelm, J. Albsmeier, and H. R. Boger, *Vasc. Med.*, **7**, 213-225 (2002).
-