Effect of norethisterone and its A-ring reduced metabolites on the acrosome reaction in porcine spermatozoa

G. Martinez¹, H. Zayas², Y. Ducolomb², G. A. Garcia³, M. Betancourt² and I. Castro¹

¹Instituto Nacional de Perinatología; ²Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa; and ³Facultad de Química, UNAM, México City, México

Key words. Acrosome reaction—porcine spermatozoa—synthetic progestins

Summary. The synthetic progestin, norethisterone (NET), has been reported as a contragestational postcoital agent in humans, rodents and rabbits. The effect and molecular mechanisms of NET and its A-ring reduced metabolites, 5α -NET and $3\beta 5\alpha$ -NET, on the acrosome reaction (AR) are unknown. The aim of this study was to assess the effect of these compounds on an in vitro progesterone-induced AR in porcine spermatozoa. The spermatozoa were obtained from semen ejaculated by proven fertile adult pigs. Seminal plasma removed and incubated under capacitating conditions was performed in TALP-Hepes medium for 4 h. Progesterone (P_4) and three different progestins: norethisterone (NET), 5α-norethisterone (5 α -NET) and 3 β 5 α -NET were then added at equimolar doses, and the spermatozoa were incubated for 15 min. Double-staining with PSA-FITC and Hoechst-33258 assessed the AR and sperm viability. Both P₄ and NET induced the AR, while 5α -NET not only did not induce this process, but was able to block the effect of P_4 on the spermatozoa. $3\beta 5\alpha$ -NET was not able to inhibit P₄ action. These results suggest that NET and its A-ring reduced metabolites act in different ways on the progesterone-induced AR in porcine spermatozoa.

Introduction

Acrosome reaction (AR) is a crucial event for reproduction in many species including humans.

Progesterone (P₄) is the main component of follicular fluid and induces the AR by means of a nongenomic membrane receptor, which triggers a Ca⁺² influx in the spermatozoa (Foresta *et al.*, 1992; Baldi *et al.*, 1995; Sabeur *et al.*, 1996; Luconi *et al.*, 1998) and activates other intracellular pathways such as diacylglycerol generation (Murase & Roldan, 1996; Revelli *et al.*, 1998).

The P_4 receptor is mainly located on the head of human spermatozoa and has not been found in the median piece of the tail (Blackmore & Laltanzio, 1991). In capacitated pig spermatozoa, P_4 can trigger the AR *in vitro* at concentrations ranging from 10^{-9} to 10^{-6} molar. Melendrez *et al.* (1994) demonstrated that this steroid induces the AR in capacitated spermatozoa in the same manner as the solubilized zona pellucida. Contrary to the effects of P_4 , the progestin RU486 is able to inhibit the AR in human spermatozoa, and also inhibits calcium influx and the lateral displacement of the sperm head (Uhler *et al.*, 1992; Yang *et al.*, 1994).

Other synthetic progestins such as NET and its A-ring reduced metabolites, 5α -NET and $3\beta 5\alpha$ -NET, show affinity for the nuclear progesterone and estrogen receptors, respectively (Chávez et al., 1985). However, information regarding the influence of these progestins on sperm physiology is limited, and most of these studies assess the effects of these compounds on the sexual behaviour of males (Morali et al., 1990), spermatogenesis, sperm count, motility and fertilization capability (Guerin & Rollet, 1988; Shetty et al., 1997). Because these progestins exert effects on important reproduction events and may have a role in contraception in humans, we assessed the effect of NET and its A-ring reduced metabolites on the AR in pig spermatozoa.

Correspondence: Ivone Castro, MD, PhD, Instituto Nacional de Perinatología, Departamento de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular, Montes Urales 800, Lomas de Virreyes, México D. F., 11000, México. Fax: (525) 5-200-034; E-mail: jicastro@ mail.ssa.gob.mx

Materials and methods

Hoescht 33258, fluorescent isothiocyanate conjugated *Pisum sativum* agglutinin (FITC-PSA), polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP, MWav 40,000) and all capacitation medium components and chemicals were obtained from Sigma Chemical Company, St Louis, MO. 5α -norethisterone and $3\beta5\alpha$ -NET were synthesized by norethisterone reduction (Schering Mexicana, SA, México) according to the method described by Bowers et al., 1958) and was kindly provided by Dr Gustavo G. de la Mora from the School of Chemistry, UNAM. Progesterone was dissolved in PBS, while all the remainder compounds were dissolved in 52% ethanol. The final ethanol concentration in the incubation medium was not greater than 0.05%, and preliminary experiments proved that this concentration did not affect sperm viability, motility or the AR. Ionophore A23187 (10 µmol) dissolved in ethanol (0.1% final concentration) was used as the positive control.

Sperm samples were obtained from proven fertile adult pigs. Seminal plasma was removed and spermatozoa were capacitated according to the method described by Bonilla et al. (1994). Spermatozoa was centrifuged at 300 g for 5 min to eliminate the supernatant, and the sperm pellet was washed twice $(300 \text{ g} 5 \text{ min}^{-1})$ with fresh TALP-Hepes capacitation medium [100 mmol of sodium chloride, 0.29 mmol of dibasic sodium phosphate, 2.1 mmol of calcium chloride, 1.5 mmol of magnesium chloride, 25 mmol of sodium bicarbonate, of N-2-hydroxiethylpiperazine-N'-2-10 mmol ethanesulfonic acid (Hepes), 21.6 mmol of sodium lactate, 1 mmol sodium pyruvate, 3.1 mmol potassium chloride, 5 mg ml $^{-1}$ bovine serum albumin, pH 7.4, osmolarity 290–300 mOsm kg⁻¹]. After each washing procedure the pH osmolarity was checked without any change. Afterwards, 50 µl of sperm suspension (15×10^6) was distributed in four well culture plates (Nunc, Denmark), adjusted to 1 ml by adding TALP-Hepes medium and incubated for 4 h at 39 °C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere for capacitating conditions.

After the capacitating conditions, different steroids or steroid combinations were added and the spermatozoa were then incubated for 15 min at 39 °C. A dose–response curve proved that 10 µg ml⁻¹ of progesterone (3.2×10^{-5} M) induced the greatest percentage of acrosome-reacted spermatozoa (10–13%) without causing toxicity and cell death (data not shown). Thus, we used this dose of progesterone for the assay, and progestins such as NET, 5α-NET and 3β5α-NET were added to the capacitation medium at equimolar doses. Double staining with Hoechst-PSA-FITC was performed to

assess the AR as described by Berger (1990). Hoechst was added to each well to obtain a final $1~\mu g~m l^{-1}$ concentration, and the suspensions were incubated for 8 min at 39 °C. In order to eliminate free Hoechst, 100 µl of sperm suspension was centrifuged at 600 g for 10 min in a 2% PVP-40 solution in PBS. The spermatozoa were fixed in absolute ethanol and placed on a slide, and 10 µl of lectin (200 μ g ml⁻¹ PBS) were added and incubated for 20 min at 39 °C in a 5% CO₂ humid atmosphere in the dark. A Zeiss epifluorescence microscope (Corl Zeiss, West Germany) was used to analyze sperm viability with UV (360 nm) and the acrosome conditions with 495-nm UV light (1000 ×). Two hundred spermatozoa were analyzed on each trial.

Data are expressed as mean and standard deviation. Differences in percentages of acrosome-reacted spermatozoa were tested by Student's *t*-test (Jandel Sigma Plot 3.0, Scientific Graphing Software) and *P*-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

After capacitating conditions, progestin effect was evaluated only in those samples with 80% or more alive spermatozoa by Hoechst dye. The effect of equimolar doses of P₄, NET, 5α -NET, $3\beta5\alpha$ -NET and different combinations of these compounds on the percentage of acrosome-reacted pig spermatozoa are shown in Figure 1. The effects of the progestins and their different combinations on the AR were compared using a group of spermatozoa treated with P₄ as the control. The mean percentage of spontaneously acrosome-reacted spermatozoa (2.6%) was subtracted from all the reported percentages of differently treated acrosome-reacted spermatozoa.

The addition of ethanol did not change the percentage of progesterone-induced acrosomereacted spermatozoa (8.4 ± 2.0 vs. 11.9 ± 2.1 , t = 1.9; P = 0.091). Norethisterone induced AR in $12.7 \pm 4.2\%$ of the spermatozoa, and this percentage was similar to that found in P₄-treated spermatozoa (11.9 ± 2.1) (t = 0.26; P = 0.787). However, no synergistic effect was observed when the spermatozoa were treated with P₄ or both P₄ and NET (11.9 ± 2.1 vs. 10.2 ± 2.1 ; t = 0.970; P = 0.369).

The addition of 5α -NET or $3\beta5\alpha$ -NET alone did not induce the AR. However, when the spermatozoa were incubated in the presence of P₄ and equimolar doses of 5α -NET, the percentage of acrosome-reacted spermatozoa was 98.6% lower than that of the group treated only with P₄



Figure 1. Effect of equimolar hormone concentrations on the sperm acrossomal reaction under capacitating conditions. Number of experiments by triplicate (n) or duplicate (*n).

 $(0.1 \pm 0.230 \text{ vs. } 11.9 \pm 2.1, t = 8.03; P = 0.001).$ Non-significant differences were found in the percentage of acrosome-reacted spermatozoa treated with P₄ alone or P₄ + 3 β 5 α -NET (11.9 ± 2.1 versus 12.3 ± 0.3; t = 0.23; P = 0.820).

When the spermatozoa were incubated in the presence of NET and equimolar doses of 5α -NET, the percentage of acrosome-reacted spermatozoa decreased 98.4% as compared to the group treated with NET only (0.2 ± 0.153 versus 12.7 ± 4.23; t = 3.97; P = 0.023). The percentage of acrosome-reacted spermatozoa induced by the combination of NET plus $3\beta 5\alpha$ -NET was very similar to that induced by P₄, and the differences were not significant (15.3 ± 1.53 vs. 12.7 ± 4.23; t = 0.743, P = 0.511).

Finally, the A-ring reduced metabolites did not block the AR induction effect of the ionophore (iono) [22.4 \pm 1.18 (iono) vs. 22.1 \pm 1.62 (iono + 5 α -NET) t = 0.28, P = 0.789; and 22.4 \pm 1.18 (iono) vs. 23.4 \pm 0.707 (iono + 3 β 5 α -NET) t = 1.14; P =0.295].

Discussion

Acrosomal reaction is an event that occurs after spermatozoa undergo a process of capacitation, following ejaculation. Normally it occurs in the female reproductive tract, however, it can also occur *in vitro* by adding progesterone into the experimental medium.

Even though the classic intracellular P_4 receptor does not exist in spermatozoa, progesterone appears to initiate the human AR via its interaction with one or two types of plasma membrane receptors (Blackmore *et al.*, 1990; Meizel & Turner, 1991; Tesarik *et al.*, 1992; Sabeur, 1996).

Some studies in the rat and rabbit have demonstrated the agonistic effect of norethisterone and the antagonistic effect of 5α -NET, both acting via a specific intracellular progesterone receptor (Pérez-Palacios *et al.*, 1992; Castro *et al.*, 1995; Pasapera *et al.*, 1995). However, to date there is no information on the effects of NET and its metabolites on sperm physiology nor is it known whether NET can be reduced to its metabolites in spermatozoa. We believed that 5α -NET could act as an antagonist of progesterone to induce AR and for that reason we considered to test this hypothesis.

Our results show that NET and progesterone function as AR inductors, while 5α -NET and $3\beta5\alpha$ -NET alone were unable to increase the percentage of acrosome-reacted spermatozoa. This suggests that in porcine spermatozoa, NET acts as progest-agen while 5α -NET and $3\beta5\alpha$ - NET lack such activity. This is known to occur in other tissues (Pérez *et al.*, 1984; Garza *et al.*, 1986; Vilchis *et al.*, 1986; Larrea *et al.*, 1987). Interestingly, only 5α -NET, but not the $3\beta5\alpha$ form, blocked the effect of

both P₄ and NET when administered at equimolar doses. This indicates that the antiprogesterone effect of 5α -NET cannot be explained by its conversion to $3\beta 5\alpha$ -NET. The mechanisms of action of these progestins in spermatozoa are unknown, but the rapid response to P₄ and synthetic progestins observed in only 15 min, suggest that these steroids might act through the activation of specific membrane receptors (Jacob et al., 1998; Revelli et al., 1994; Feng-Pang et al., 1998), changes of intracellular calcium concentrations (Blackmore, 1990) or altering membrane fluidity (Shivaji & Jagannadham, 1992). These mechanisms have already been studied in humans, but remain to be tested in our model. Recently and in parallel with this study, we have carried out some experiments using mouse spermatozoa and we found the same results as we demonstrated in pig spermatozoa (unpublished data), but the hormonebinding receptor experiments demonstrated that neither NET nor 5α -NET were able to displace the binding to progesterone receptor. This means that probably the effects observed in both the mouse and the porcine spermatozoa might have occurred in different ways to that of the membrane progesterone receptor.

It has been recently demonstrated that P₄ increases intracellular calcium concentrations in human spermatozoa, inducing the AR, while 17β estradiol induces a fast and sustained increase of intracellular calcium concentrations without inducing the AR when administered solely at nanomolar concentrations. Furthermore, 17β -estradiol shows a slight inhibitory effect of the progesteroneinduced AR at nanomolar but not at micromolar concentrations (Luconi et al., 1999). In accordance with the latter study, we found that micromolar concentrations of $3\beta 5\alpha$ -NET did not induce the AR when added alone. However, the addition of equimolar doses of P_4 (μM) and $3\beta 5\alpha$ -NET (μM) did not inhibit the acrosome reaction induced by progesterone. Whether this difference is because of differences of affinity for the 17β -estradiol and $3\beta 5\alpha$ -NET receptors remains to be verified. Furthermore, as 5α -NET and $3\beta 5\alpha$ -NET were unable to block the induction effect of the ionophore, the mechanism of action of these metabolites most probably affects a pathway different than that activated by the ionophore. As far as we know, this is the first report describing the effect of NET and its A-reduced ring metabolite 5α -NET on this crucial step in sperm physiology in pigs; no information in this regard is available on humans or any other species. Further studies are required to thoroughly understand the mechanism of action of these compounds

in our model, and more importantly, in human spermatozoa.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Instituto Nacional de Perinatología and CONACYT (México), grant no. 5–1407 PN (M. Betancourt).

References

- Baldi E, Krausz C, Forti G (1995) Nongenomic actions of progesterone on human spermatozoa. Trends Endocrinol Metab 6:198–205.
- Berger T (1990) *Pisum sativum* agglutinin used as an acrosomal stain of porcine and caprine sperm. Theriogenology 33: 689–695.
- Blackmore P, Beebe S, Danforth D, Alexander N (1990) Progesterone and 17a-hidroxiprogesterone: novel stimulators of calcium influx in human sperm. J Biol Chem 265:1376–1380.
- Blackmore PF, Laltanzio FA (1991) Cell surface localization of a novel non-genomic progesterone receptor on the head of human sperm. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 181:331– 336.
- Bonilla E, Amador A, Betancourt M (1994) *In vitro* capacitation of pig spermatozoa in a protein-free medium supplemented with histidine and cysteine. Med Sci Res 24:75–77.
- Bowers A, Ringold HJ, Denot E (1958) Steroid C1 19-Nordihydrotestosterone derivatives. J Am Chem Soc 80:6115– 6121.
- Castro I, Cerbón MA, Pasapera AM, Gutiérrez-Sagal R, García GA, Orozco C, Camacho-Arroyo I, Anzaldúa R, Pérez-Palacios G (1995) Molecular mechanisms of antihormonal and antiimplantation effects of norethisterone and its A-ring reduced metabolites. Mol Reprod Dev 40:157– 163.
- Chávez BA, Vilchis F, Pérez AE, García GA, Grillasca Y, Pérez-Palacios G (1985) Stereospecificity of the intracellular binding of norethisterone and its A-ring reduced metabolites. J Steroid Biochem 22:121–126.
- Feng-Pang C, Barend MG, Wim FV, Alireza F, Mart MB, Ben C (1998) Progesterone-induced acrosome reaction in stallion spermatozoa is mediated by a plasma membrane progesterone receptor. Biol Reprod 59:733–742.
- Foresta C, Rossato M, Mioni R, Zorzi M (1992) Progesterone induces capacitation in human spermatozoa. Andrologia 24:33–35.
- Garza F, Vilchis F, García G, Menjivar M, Pérez-Palacios G (1986) A-ring reduction enhances the antigonadotrophic potency of norethisterone. Acta Endocrinol (Copenh) 112:278–283.
- Guerin JF, Rollet J (1988) Inhibition of spermatogenesis in men using various combinations of oral progestagens and percutaneus or oral androgens. Int J Androl 11:187–199.
- Jacob A, Hurley I, Mandel F, Hershalag A, Cooper G, Benoff S (1998) Human sperm non-nuclear progesterone receptor expression is a novel marker for fertilization outcome. Mol Hum Reprod 4:533–542.
- Larrea F, Vilchis F, Chávez B, Pérez AE, Garza-Flores J, Pérez-Palacios G (1987) The metabolism of 19-Nor contraceptive progestins modulates their biological activity at the neuroendocrine level. J Steroid Biochem 27:657–663.

- Luconi M, Bonaccorssi L, Maggi M, Peccholi P, Krausz C, Forti G, Boldi E (1998) Identification and characterization of functional non genomic progesterone receptors on human sperm membrane. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 83:877–885.
- Luconi M, Murati M, Forti G, Baldi E (1999) Identification and characterization of a novel functional estrogen receptor on human sperm membrane that interferes with progesterone effects. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 84:1670–1678.
- Meizel S, Turner KO (1991) Progesterone acts at the plasma membrane of human sperm. Mol cell Endocrinol 11 R1–R5.
- Melendrez C, Meizel S, Berger T (1994) Comparison of the ability of progesterone and heat solubilized porcine zona pellucida to initiate the porcine sperm acrosome reaction *in vitro*. Mol Reprod Dev 39:433–438.
- Morali G, Lemus AE, Oropeza MV, Garcia GA, Pérez Palacios G (1990) Induction of male sexual behavior by norethisterone: role of its A-Ring reduced metabolites. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 37:477–484.
- Murase T, Roldan ERS (1996) Progesterone and the zona pellucida activate different transducing pathways in the sequence of events leading to diacylglicerol generation during mouse sperm acrosomal exocitosis. J Biochem 320:1017–1023.
- Pasapera AM, Cerbón MA, Castro I, Gutiérrez R, García GA, Camacho-Arroyo I, García AG, Pérez-Palacios G (1995) Norethisterone metabolites modulate the uteroglobin and progesterone gene expression in prepubertal rabbits. Biol Reprod 52:426–432.
- Pérez A, Hernández A, Cervantes P, Vilchis F, Chavez B (1984) In vitro metabolism of ³H-Norethisterone in hypothalamus and pituitary from ovariectomized female rats. I Steroid Biochem 20:841–847.
- Pérez-Palacios G, Cerbón M, Pasapera AM, Castro JI, Enriquez J, Vilchis F, García G, Moralí G, Lemus AE (1992)

Mechanisms of hormonal and antihormonal action of contraceptive progestins at the molecular level. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 41:479–485.

- Revelli A, Modotti M, Piffaretl Y, Massobrio M, Balerna M (1994) Steroid receptors in human espermatozoa. Hum Reprod 9:760–766.
- Revelli A, Massobrio M, Tesarik J (1998) Nongenomic actions of steroid hormones in reproductive tissues. Endocr Rev 19:3– 17.
- Sabeur K, Edwards DP, Meizel S (1996) Human sperm plasma membrane progesterone receptor and the acrosome reaction. Biol Reprod 54:993–1001.
- Shetty G, Krishnamurthy H, Krishnamurthy HN, Ramachandra SG, Moudgal NR (1997) Use of norethisterone and estradiol in mini doses as contraceptive in the male. Contraception 56:257–265.
- Shivaji S, Jagannadham M (1992) Steroid-induced perturbations of membranes and its relevance to sperm acrosome reaction. Biochem Biophys Acta 1108:99–109.
- Tesarik J, Mendoza C, Moos J, Fenichel P, Fehlmann M (1992) Progesterone action through aggregation of a receptor on the sperm plasma membrane. FEBS Lett 308:116–120.
- Uhler ML, Leung A, Chan SYW, Wang C (1992) Direct effects of progesterone and antiprogesterone on human sperm hyperactivated motility and acrosome reaction. Fertil Steril 58:1191–1198.
- Vilchis F, Chávez B, Pérez AE, García GA, Angeles A, Pérez-Palacios G (1986) Evidence that a non-aromatizable metabolite of norethisterone induces estrogen-dependent pituitary progesterone receptors. J Steroid Biochem 24:525–531.
- Yang J, Serres C, Philibert D, Robel P, Baulieu EE, Jouannet P (1994) Progesterone and RU486: opposing effects on human sperm. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91:529–533.