EJINME-02646; No of Pages 1

ARTICLE IN PRESS

European Journal of Internal Medicine xxx (2014) xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

European Journal of Internal Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejim



Letter to the Editor

Reply to Paolo Bellavite

Dear Editor,

The recent letter by Dr. Paolo Bellavite tried to address the critical issues discussed in my latest contribution about Oscillococcinum® in this Journal. His rebuttal does not contain any further clue about the efficacy of Oscillococcinum® from Boiron, and yet he referred to papers that do not deal with Oscillococcinum®. The complete absence of a sound reply about the scientific body of Oscillococcinum® was a clear disappointment for me. Few years ago, Bellavite wrote a book on Oscillococcinum® committed by Boiron and assessed his expertise in the field. Notwithstanding, Bellavite focused his reply on a presumptive conflict of interest from this writing author. Fundamentally, Bellavite has lost his occasion to dismiss criticism raised in [1], particularly when he quoted the contribution by Mathie et al., in Cochrane Database [2]. He reported verbatim: "there is insufficient good evidence to enable robust conclusions to be made about Oscillococcinum® in the prevention or treatment of influenza and influenza-like illness" but leaves some hope for a positive outcome: "Our findings do not rule out the possibility that Oscillococcinum® could have a clinically useful treatment effect" [2]. This conclusion is a misunderstanding, as it waits for a forthright positive evidence pro-Oscillococcinum®, which is actually lacking. Aside from ref. [2], no evidence taking into account the potential of Oscillococcinum® against flu was further reported. Following past Weissmann's editorial [3], no standing commentary endowed with undisputable evidence was further raised to support Oscillococcinum® in clinics.

Oscillococcinum® lacks of undisputable positive evidence.

My personal consideration is that Bellavite misconstrued my comments about the biased interest by reporting his *excusatio non petita* in the footnote about the conflict of interest statement. In ref. [1] I wished simply to report what the common reader might suspect when meeting the evidence that any positive effect related to *Oscillococcinum*® was almost all published thanks to the direct sponsor of Boiron. I did not talk about bias in my letter [1]: I suggested a possible misunderstanding. Actually a misunderstanding did occur. My apologies for this.

Conflict of interest statement

The Author states he has no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Chirumbolo S. Oscillococcinum®: misunderstanding or biased interest? Eur J Intern Med 2013 doi:pii: S0953-6205(13)00985-0.
- [2] Mathie RT, Frye J, Fisher P. Homeopathic Oscillococcinum(®) for preventing and treating influenza and influenza-like illness. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012;12 (CD001957)
- [3] Weissmann G. Homeopathy: Holmes, Hogwarts, and the Prince of Wales. FASEB J 2006:20:1755–8.

Salvatore Chirumbolo
Laboratory of Physiopathology of Obesity,
LURM est Department of Medicine University of Verona,
Policlinico GB Rossi, Piazzale AL Scuro, 10 37134 Verona, Italy
E-mail address: salvatore.chirumbolo@univr.it.

9 December 2013 Available online xxxx

 $0953-6205/\$-see front\ matter @\ 2013\ European\ Federation\ of\ Internal\ Medicine.\ Published\ by\ Elsevier\ B.V.\ All\ rights\ reserved.\ http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2013.12.008$