HPTLC Method Validation for simultaneous determination of Tamsulosin Hydrochloride and Finasteride in Bulk and Pharmaceutical Dosage Form

Sanjay B. Bari*, Pritam S. Jain, Abdul R. Bakshi and Sanjay J. Surana

Patel Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, Shirpur Dist: Dhule (M.S.) 425 405 India

Summary
A new simple, precise, accurate and selective TLC-densitometry method has been developed for simultaneous determination of tamsulosin hydrochloride and finasteride in tablet dosage form. Chromatographic separation was performed on aluminum plate precoated with silica gel 60 F254 using toluene: n-propanol: triethylamine (3.0:1.5:0.2 v/v) as mobile phase. Detection was carried out densitometrically at 260 nm. The RF value of tamsulosin hydrochloride and finasteride were 0.32 and 0.54, respectively. The reliability of the method was assessed by evaluation of linearity which was found to be 200 – 1200 ng/spot for tamsulosin hydrochloride and 1000 - 6000 ng/spot for finasteride. Accuracy of the method was accessed by percentage recovery and found to be 99.77 ± 0.71 % for tamsulosin hydrochloride and 99.75 ± 0.86 % for finasteride. The method can be used for routine analysis of tamsulosin hydrochloride and finasteride in tablet dosage form.
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Introduction
Benign Prostate Hyperplasia (BPH) is a common condition in aging men. Chemically tamsulosin hydrochloride is [(-)-(R)-5-[2-[[2-(O-ethoxyphenoxo) ethyl]amino]propyl]-2-methoxybenzenesulfonylamide] and is official in Martindale – The Extra Pharmacopoeia and Merck Index [1,2]. The chemical structures are shown in Figure 1, whereas chemically finasteride is N-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-3-oxo-(5α,17β)-4-azaandrostan-1-ene-17-carboxamide and official in Merck Index [1,2]. The chemical structures are shown in Figure 1.


The purpose of this work is to establish and validate a simple accurate and reproducible procedure for quantitative TLC analysis of tamsulosin hydrochloride and finasteride in bulk and tablet dosage form as per ICH guidelines [14, 15].

Experimental
Chemicals and reagents
Tamsulosin hydrochloride and Finasteride was kindly gifted from Sun Pharmaceuticals, Vapi (Gujarat), India. Urimax-F tablets containing 0.4 mg of tamsulosin hydrochloride and 5 mg of finasteride were obtained from commercial sources within their shelf life period. All the reagents and solvents used were of HPLC grade.

HPTLC instrumentation
The samples were spotted in the form of bands of width 6mm with a Camag 100 µl sample (Hamilton, Bonaduz., Switzerland) syringe on precoated silica gel aluminium plate 60 F254 (20 cm x 10 cm with 0.2 mm thickness), supplied by Anchrom technologists, (Mumbai) using a Camag Linomat applicator 5 (Switzerland). A constant application rate of 150 nl sec\(^{-1}\) was employed and space between two band was 15 mm. The slit dimension was kept 6 mm x 0.45 mm. The mobile phase consisted of toluene: n-propanol: triethylamine (3.0:1.5:0.2 v/v). The optimized chamber saturation time for mobile phase was 20 min at room temperature (25°C ± 2) and relative humidity 60% ± 5. The length of chromatogram run was approximately 80 mm. Subsequent to the development; TLC plates were dried in current of air with the help of an air dryer. Densitometric scanning was performed using Camag TLC scanner 3 in the absorbance mode at 260 nm and software used was winCATS 4.0.5. The source of radiation utilized was deuterium lamp emitting a continuous UV spectrum in the range of 190 - 400 nm.

Preparation of standard solutions for linearity studies
An accurately weighed quantity of 10 mg TAM and 50 mg of FIN were transferred to two different 10 ml volumetric flasks, dissolved in methanol and volume was made up to mark with the same solvent to obtain concentration 1000 ng/µl of Tam and 5000 ng/µl of FIN respec-
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tively. From these solutions 2 ml stock solution of TAM and FIN was transferred to 10 ml volumetric flask and made up to mark. Aliquots of standard solutions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6µl of TMS and FIN were applied on TLC plate with the help of microlitre syringe. using Linomat 5 sample applicator to obtained the concentration of 200, 400, 600, 800, 1000 and 1200 ng per spot of TMS and 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, 5000 and 6000 ng per spot of FIN respectively.

**Method validation**

Accurately weighed 10 mg of TMS and 50 mg of FIN were transferred to 10 ml volumetric flask, dissolved in methanol and volume was adjusted to mark. This mix standard solution was used for validation study.

**Precision:** Repeatability of measurement of peak area was determined by spotting 400 ng/spot of TMS and 2000 ng/spot of FIN. Precision of the method was assessed by intra-day and inter-day variations. Intra-day variations were assessed by spotting 400, 600, 800 ng/spot of TMS and 2000, 3000, 4000 ng/spot of TMS on TLC plate on three different times within the same day. Inter-day variations were performed by analyzing same concentrations described above for TMS and FIN in three different days over a period of week.

**Specificity:** Specificity of the method was ascertained by analyzing standard drug and sample. The mobile phase resolved both the drugs very efficiently, as shown in Figure 2. The spot for TMS and FIN was confirmed by comparing the RF and spectra of the spot with that of standard. A typical absorption overlain spectrum of TMS and FIN shown in Figure 3; wavelength 260 nm was selected for densitometric scanning. Peak purity of TMS and FIN was assessed by comparing the spectra of sample with that of standard at three different levels, i.e., peak start (S), peak apex (M) and peak end (E) positions.

**Accuracy:** The pre-analyzed samples were over spotted with extra 80, 100 and 120 % of the standard drug solution of TMS and FIN on TLC plate. The total concentrations of the drugs were determined. The experiment was conducted in triplicate. This was done to check for the recovery of the drug at different levels in formulation.

**Robustness:** Robustness of the method was performed by spotting 400 ng of TMS and 2000 ng of FIN on TLC plate by making small deliberate changes in chromatographic conditions. Mobile phases having different composition like toluene: n-propane: triethylamine (3.5: 1: 0.2 v/v/v) and toluene: n-propane: triethylamine (3.0: 1.5: 0.2 v/v/v) were tried and chromatograms were run. The development distance was varied in the range of ± 5%. The plates were prewashed by methanol and activated at 60 ± 5°C. The amount of mobile phase (4.7 and 9.4 µl) and different composition like toluene: n-propane: triethylamine (3.5: 1: 0.2 v/v/v) were separately spotted on TLC plates in triplicate. The LOQ and LOD were calculated using equation LOD = 3.3 x N/B and LOQ = 10 x N/B, where, N is standard deviation of the peak areas of the drugs (n=3), taken as a measure of noise, and B is the slope of the corresponding calibration curve.

**Application of proposed method to tablet formulation**

Twenty tablets were weighed; average weight determined and crushed in to fine powder. An accurately weighed tablet powder equivalent to 4 mg of tamsulosin hydrochloride and 50 mg of finasteride was transferred into 25 ml volumetric flask containing 15 ml methanol, an accurately weighed quantity of standard tamsulosin hydrochloride (6mg) was added to obtain the proportion of 1:5 for TMS and FIN respectively.

**Repeatability of measurement of peak area was determined by spotting 400 ng/spot of TMS and 2000 ng/spot of FIN. Precision of the method was assessed by intra-day and inter-day variations. Intra-day variations were assessed by spotting 400, 600, 800 ng/spot of TMS and 2000, 3000, 4000 ng/spot of TMS on TLC plate on three different times within the same day. Inter-day variations were performed by analyzing same concentrations described above for TMS and FIN in three different days over a period of week.**

**Specificity:** Specificity of the method was ascertained by analyzing standard drug and sample. The mobile phase resolved both the drugs very efficiently, as shown in Figure 2. The spot for TMS and FIN was confirmed by comparing the RF and spectra of the spot with that of standard. A typical absorption overlain spectrum of TMS and FIN shown in Figure 3; wavelength 260 nm was selected for densitometric scanning. Peak purity of TMS and FIN was assessed by comparing the spectra of sample with that of standard at three different levels, i.e., peak start (S), peak apex (M) and peak end (E) positions.

**Accuracy:** The pre-analyzed samples were over spotted with extra 80, 100 and 120 % of the standard drug solution of TMS and FIN on TLC plate. The total concentrations of the drugs were determined. The experiment was conducted in triplicate. This was done to check for the recovery of the drug at different levels in formulation.

**Robustness:** Robustness of the method was performed by spotting 400 ng of TMS and 2000 ng of FIN on TLC plate by making small deliberate changes in chromatographic conditions. Mobile phases having different composition like toluene: n-propane: triethylamine (3.5: 1: 0.2 v/v/v) and toluene: n-propane: triethylamine (3.0: 1.5: 0.2 v/v/v) were tried and chromatograms were run. The development distance was varied in the range of ± 5%. The plates were prewashed by methanol and activated at 60 ± 5°C. The amount of mobile phase (4.7 and 9.4 µl) and different composition like toluene: n-propane: triethylamine (3.5: 1: 0.2 v/v/v) were separately spotted on TLC plates in triplicate. The LOQ and LOD were calculated using equation LOD = 3.3 x N/B and LOQ = 10 x N/B, where, N is standard deviation of the peak areas of the drugs (n=3), taken as a measure of noise, and B is the slope of the corresponding calibration curve.

**Application of proposed method to tablet formulation**

Twenty tablets were weighed; average weight determined and crushed in to fine powder. An accurately weighed tablet powder equivalent to 4 mg of tamsulosin hydrochloride and 50 mg of finasteride was transferred into 25 ml volumetric flask containing 15 ml methanol, an accurately weighed quantity of standard tamsulosin hydrochloride (6mg) was added to obtain the proportion of 1:5 for TMS and FIN respectively.
The TLC procedure was optimized with a view to develop method for simultaneous determination of TMS and FIN. The mobile phase tolerance: n-propanol: triethylamine 3.0: 1.5: 0.2 (v/v) gave good resolution, sharp and symmetrical peak with R_g value of 0.55 for TMS and 0.60 for FIN. It was observed that prewashing of TLC plates with methanol afforded recovery of 98 - 102 % as listed in Table II.

**Robustness:** The standard deviation of peak areas was calculated for each parameter and % R.S.D. was found to be less than 2 %.

**Ruggedness of the method:** Rugginess of the method was performed by applying 400 ng and 2000 ng for TMS and FIN, respectively by two different analyst keeping same experimental and environmental conditions. The results summarized in Table III.

**Conclusion**

The developed HPTLC method is simple, precise, accurate and reproducible and can be used for simultaneous determination of TMS and FIN in tablets. The method was validated as per ICH guidelines.

### References


### Table 1: Intra-day and inter-day precision of HPTLC method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Conc. ng/ ml</th>
<th>Amount of standard drug added (%)</th>
<th>% Drug Recovered</th>
<th>% R.S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMS</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>99.74</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100.03</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIN</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>99.93</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100.33</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>99.72</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Results of recovery studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>TMS</th>
<th>FIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linarity range (ng/spot)</td>
<td>200 – 1200</td>
<td>1000 – 6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.9991</td>
<td>0.9987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of detection (ng/spot)</td>
<td>17.47006</td>
<td>61.98041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of quantitation (ng/spot)</td>
<td>52.93956</td>
<td>187.3935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Recovery (n = 9)</td>
<td>99.77</td>
<td>99.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruggedness (% R.S.D.)</td>
<td>Analyst I (n = 3)</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analyst II (n = 3)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Repeatability of application (n = 6)</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intra-day (n = 3)</td>
<td>0.65 – 1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inter-day (n = 3)</td>
<td>1.04 – 1.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Summary of validation parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>TMS</th>
<th>FIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear range</td>
<td>200 – 1200</td>
<td>1000 – 6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.9991</td>
<td>0.9987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of detection</td>
<td>17.47006</td>
<td>61.98041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of quantitation</td>
<td>52.93956</td>
<td>187.3935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Recovery</td>
<td>99.77</td>
<td>99.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

respectively. The solution was sonicated for 10 min and volume was made up to mark with same solvent. The resulting solution was filtered using 0.41 µm filter (Millifilter, Milford, MA). The appropriate volume i.e. 5 µl containing 400 ng of TMS and 2000 ng of FIN was spotted on TLC plate.

### Results and Discussion

#### HPTLC method development and validation

The TLC procedure was optimized with a view to develop method for simultaneous determination of TMS and FIN. The mobile phase tolerance: n-propanol: triethylamine 3.0: 1.5: 0.2 (v/v) gave good resolution, sharp and symmetrical peak with R_g value of 0.35 for TMS and 0.60 for FIN. It was observed that prewashing of TLC plates with methanol (followed by drying and activation) and pre-saturation of TLC chamber with mobile phase for 20 min ensure good reproducibility and peak shape of both the drugs.

### Validation

**Linearity:** The linear regression data for the calibration curves showed good linear relationship over the concentration range 200 – 1200 ng/spot for TMS and 1000 - 6000 ng/spot for FIN. Linear regression equation was found to be Y = 1.0937 X + 32.884 (r^2 = 0.9991) for tamsulosin hydrochloride and Y = 0.4696 X + 274.89 (r^2 = 0.9987) for finasteride.

**Precision:** The precision of the developed HPTLC method was expressed in terms of % relative standard deviation (% R.S.D.). The results depicted revealed high precision of the method is presented in Table I.

**LOD and LOQ:** Detection limit and quantification limit was calculated by the method as described in Specificity. The LOQ and LOD for TMS were 52.94 ng and 17.47 and for FIN, LOQ and LOD were found to be 187.39 ng and 61.98 ng. This indicates that adequate sensitivity of the method.

**Accuracy:** The proposed method when used for extraction and subsequent estimation of both the drug from pharmaceutical dosage forms after over spotting with 80, 100 and 120 % of additional drug; afforded recovery of 98 - 102 % as listed in Table II.

**Robustness:** The standard deviation of peak areas was calculated for each parameter and % R.S.D. was found to be less than 2 %.

**Ruggedness of the method:** Rugginess of the method was performed by applying 400 ng and 2000 ng for TMS and FIN, respectively by two different analyst keeping same experimental and environmental conditions. The results summarized in Table III.