Effect of ketoconazole on the pharmacokinetics of udenafil in healthy Korean subjects
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WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ABOUT THIS SUBJECT
• Udenafil is a phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitor used for the treatment of erectile dysfunction.
• Udenafil is safe and well tolerated in healthy subjects, and effective as treatment for erectile dysfunction.
• In vitro studies have demonstrated that CYP3A4 is the major enzyme responsible for the metabolism of udenafil.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS
• The pharmacokinetic characteristics of udenafil in the presence of ketoconazole, a potent CYP3A4 inhibitor, were determined in healthy Korean volunteers.
• Systemic exposure of udenafil was significantly increased when it was administered with ketoconazole.

AIMS
Udenafil is a phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitor used for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. It is metabolized to DA-8164, a major metabolite, by CYP3A4. This study was performed to investigate the effect of ketoconazole, a known CYP3A4 inhibitor, on the pharmacokinetics of udenafil.

METHODS
An open-label, two-period, fixed-sequence crossover study was performed in 12 healthy male volunteers. They received a single 100-mg oral dose of udenafil. Following a 5-day interval, 400 mg of ketoconazole was administered once a day for three consecutive days. On day 3 of ketoconazole treatment, a second 100 mg of udenafil was dosed concomitantly. Blood samples were collected at time points up to 48 h without ketoconazole treatment and up to 72 h with ketoconazole co-administration. The plasma concentration of udenafil was determined using liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry.

RESULTS
Following ketoconazole co-administration, the mean $C_{\text{max}}$ and AUC$_{\text{last}}$ of udenafil (95% confidence interval) increased 1.9-fold (1.60, 2.27) and 3.2-fold (2.82, 3.63), respectively. The median time to reach the $C_{\text{max}}$ was delayed in the co-administrated treatment, while the mean terminal elimination half-life ($t_{1/2}$) remained relatively unchanged regardless of ketoconazole co-administration. The metabolic AUC ratio (AUC$_{\text{last}}$ of DA-8164/AUC$_{\text{last}}$ of udenafil) was 1.71 when udenafil was administered alone, and the value decreased to 0.19 when udenafil was dosed in the presence of ketoconazole. Regarding safety assessments, no clinically significant difference or serious adverse event was observed.

CONCLUSIONS
The systemic exposure of udenafil increased significantly when it was administered with ketoconazole. Dose adjustment may be required when these drugs are used together.
Introduction

Udenafil (Zydena®; Dong-A Pharmaceutical Co., Seoul, Korea) is a potent and selective phosphodiesterase (PDE) 5 inhibitor [1], reported to be safe and effective as an oral treatment for erectile dysfunction [1, 2]. Following oral administration, udenafil reached a peak concentration at 0.8–1.3 h and was eliminated with a half-life of 7.3–12.1 h [1]. A steady state was reached at 5 days with only slight accumulation, and urinary excretion of unchanged udenafil was <12% [1, 3]. The therapeutic dose of udenafil is 100 mg, and is not to be administered more than once a day.

Udenafil is metabolized primarily by CYP3A4 to its active N-dealkylated metabolite, DA-8164, which has approximately half the pharmacological activity compared with that of the parent compound [4]. This study aimed to evaluate the drug interaction between udenafil and ketoconazole in healthy subjects.

Methods

An open-label, two-period, fixed-sequence crossover study was conducted in healthy male volunteers. Each volunteer gave written informed consent before being enrolled. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital.

Subjects received a single 100-mg oral dose of udenafil on day 1 after overnight fasting and maintained a fasting state until 4 h after drug administration. A 400-mg dose of ketoconazole was administered once a day on the morning of days 6, 7 and 8. Subjects were kept from eating food for 1 h before and after drug administration on days 6 and 7. On day 8, overnight-fasted subjects received 100 mg of udenafil again approximately 1 h after ketoconazole dosing.

Following a single dose of udenafil, blood samples were collected: before, and 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24, 32 and 48 h after dosing. Following the second dose of udenafil, which was administered after the co-administration of ketoconazole, an additional blood sample was drawn at 72 h after udenafil dosing to ensure complete characterization of the pharmacokinetic profile of udenafil even if co-administration of ketoconazole increased the t_{1/2} of udenafil.

Udenafil and DA-8164 concentrations in plasma were determined using liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry [5, 6]. The lower limit of quantification was 2 ng ml\(^{-1}\), and the method was validated over the range 2–2000 ng ml\(^{-1}\). The accuracy for within- and between-runs ranged from 101.0% to 104.1% and from 94.8% to 97.4%, respectively, and the precision for within- and between-runs ranged from 2.3% to 5.3% and from 5.6% to 9.2%.

Pharmacokinetic parameters were determined by non-compartmental methods using WinNonlin® (Version 5.0; Pharsight Corp., Mountain View, CA, USA). The metabolic AUC ratio of udenafil was calculated as the AUC_{last} of DA-8164 divided by that of udenafil after correcting the AUC values by molecular weights of udenafil (MW 516.66) and DA-8164 (MW 405.4).

The log-transformed C_{max}, AUC_{last}, AUC_{\infty} and other pharmacokinetic variables were compared between the values from the two treatments using the paired t-test. These differences were estimated with geometric mean and 95% confidence interval (CI). T_{max} was examined using the Wilcoxon signed rank test based on matched pairs. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS® 12.0 software (SPSS, Seoul, Korea).

Adverse events (AEs) were monitored by asking general health-related questions and subjects’ self-reporting. Physical examinations, 12-lead ECGs, laboratory tests including clinical chemistry, haematology and urinalysis were performed at predetermined intervals.

Results

Thirteen volunteers were enrolled, and one subject withdrew informed consent before drug administration. Twelve volunteers completed the study. Their mean age (range) was 24.3 years (23–27) and the mean weight (range) was 68.8 kg (58.1–80.8), with individual body weight values within 80–120% of ideal body weight.

Udenafil, administered alone, was rapidly absorbed with a C_{max} of 310.3 ± 93.8 ng ml\(^{-1}\), which was attained in approximately 1.0 h (range 1.0–2.5) after a single oral dose of 100 mg udenafil (Figure 1). The AUC_{last} was 2051.5 ± 379.6 ng h\(^{-1}\) ml\(^{-1}\). When udenafil was administered with...
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Table 1
Mean plasma pharmacokinetic parameters of a single 100-mg oral dose of udenafil with and without co-administration of ketoconazole 400 mg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Udenafil alone</th>
<th>Udenafil + ketoconazole</th>
<th>Geometric mean ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cmax (ng ml$^{-1}$)*</td>
<td>310.3 (93.8)</td>
<td>574.4 (124.3)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.60,2.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUClast (ng h$^{-1}$ ml$^{-1}$)*</td>
<td>2051.5 (379.6)</td>
<td>6581.2 (1404.5)</td>
<td>3.20 (2.82,3.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (ng h$^{-1}$ ml$^{-1}$)*</td>
<td>2142.6 (395.1)</td>
<td>6682.0 (1420.5)</td>
<td>3.11 (2.73,3.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t1/2 (h)</td>
<td>11.9 (1.5)</td>
<td>12.7 (1.6)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmax (h)†‡</td>
<td>1.0 (1.0–2.5)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.0–4.0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL/F (l h$^{-1}$)*</td>
<td>48.7 (12.4)</td>
<td>15.6 (3.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic AUC ratio§</td>
<td>1.71 (0.53)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.09)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.0001, comparison of values based on the paired t-test. †P < 0.05, comparison of values based on the Wilcoxon signed rank test. ‡Tmax values are presented as the median (minimum–maximum). §The metabolic AUC ratio of udenafil was calculated as the AUClast of DA-8164 divided by that of udenafil; each AUC was corrected by molecular weight of udenafil and DA-8164. Values represent the arithmetic mean ± SD except for the Tmax.

ketoconazole, the Cmax and AUClast increased to 574.4 ± 124.3 ng ml$^{-1}$ and 6581.2 ± 1404.5 ng h$^{-1}$ ml$^{-1}$, respectively. The median Tmax (range) was delayed to 1.5 h (1.0–4.0) after co-administration of ketoconazole. The t1/2 remained around 12 h regardless of the co-administration of ketoconazole (Figure 1, Table 1).

In the presence of ketoconazole, the mean Cmax and AUClast of udenafil increased 1.9-fold (95% CI 1.60, 2.27) and 3.2-fold (2.82, 3.63), respectively, compared with udenafil alone. The metabolic AUC ratios were 1.71 ± 0.53 (mean ± SD) in udenafil alone and 0.19 ± 0.09 in the co-administration (Table 1).

Despite the higher systemic exposure of udenafil with ketoconazole co-administration, no clinically significant or consistent trends of change were observed in terms of laboratory tests, vital signs or ECGs. None of the subjects developed any serious AE.

Discussion

The study has demonstrated the effects of the co-administration of ketoconazole on the pharmacokinetics of udenafil in humans. The result affirmed previous studies that udenafil was metabolized by CYP3A4 and ketoconazole inhibited hepatic and intestinal CYP3A4 [7, 8]. In the presence of ketoconazole, the systemic exposure of udenafil was increased and the metabolic AUC ratio was decreased. The clearance (CL/F) was significantly decreased with ketoconazole, although the half-lives of two treatments were similar. These findings suggested a predominant contribution of intestinal CYP3A4 inhibition by ketoconazole.

The inhibition of intestinal CYP3A by ketoconazole extended beyond the residence time of 3.5 h [9, 10] and the Tmax of udenafil was 0.8–1.3 h [1]. Thus a 1-h interval between ketoconazole and udenafil dosing was speculated to result in a maximum inhibitory effect.

Udenafil was also demonstrated to be a substrate of P-glycoprotein (P-gp) by recent studies using Caco-2 cells [7, 11]. P-gp inhibitors including cyclosporin and verapamil increased the influx and decreased the efflux of udenafil [11]. Ketoconazole is known to be an inhibitor of not only CYP3A4 but also P-gp [12]. Therefore the co-administration of ketoconazole apparently increases the oral bioavailability and AUC of udenafil through inhibition of both intestinal CYP3A4 and P-gp.

The effect of 400 mg ketoconazole on the pharmacokinetics of udenafil was less when compared with other PDE5 inhibitors metabolized by CYP3A4, such as vardenafil and tadalafl [13]. Co-administration of a 200-mg oral dose of ketoconazole in healthy volunteers resulted in 10.0-fold increase in the AUC of a 5-mg dose of vardenafil [14]. In the case of tadalafl, a 400-mg dose of ketoconazole increased the AUC of a 20-mg dose of tadalafl 4.1-fold [14].

In conclusion, this study has demonstrated that the systemic exposure of udenafil was increased significantly when it was administered with ketoconazole. Dose adjustment may be required when udenafil is administered with ketoconazole or other drugs that potently alter the activity of CYP3A4.
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