

Conclusions: Treatment-resistant patients in a major depressive episode showed a rapid antidepressant response to a single infusion of ketamine. The current study represents the largest investigation to date of ketamine in TRD. Utilizing an optimized active placebo design, the trial provides new evidence for the specific antidepressant effects of ketamine, apart from its non-specific anesthetic properties. Future research is required to test the antidepressant effects of ketamine beyond a single administration and to characterize its longer-term safety profile.

Disclosure statement: Drs. Chang, Al Jurdi, Green, Perez, Iqbal, Ms. Pillemer and Ms. Foulkes report no financial relationships with commercial interests. In the previous 36 months, Dr. Murrrough has received research support from Evotec, Janssen Pharmaceuticals and Avanir. Dr. Iosifescu has received research funding through Mount Sinai School of Medicine from AstraZeneca, Brainsway, Euthymics, Neosync, and Roche he has received consulting fees for CNSResponse, Otsuka, and Servier. Dr. Mathew has received consulting fees or research support from Allergan, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cephalon, Inc., Corcept, Johnson & Johnson, Noven, Roche Pharmaceuticals, and Takeda. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) grant RO1MH081870 (SJM), UL1TR000067 from the NIH National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, Department of Veterans Affairs, and a NARSAD Independent Investigator Award (SJM). This work was supported with resources and the use of facilities at the Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center, Houston, TX. Dr. Murrrough is supported by a Career Development Award from NIH/NIMH (1K23MH094707-01).

P.2.f.029 A randomised, double-blind, study of vortioxetine versus agomelatine in adults with major depressive disorder (MDD) with inadequate response to SSRI/SNRI treatment

L. Häggström¹, R.Z. Nielsen², N. Danchenko³, L. Poulsen^{4*}
¹Affecta Psychiatric Clinic, Department of Psychiatry, Halmstad, Sweden; ²H. Lundbeck A/S, Biostatistics, Valby, Denmark;
³Lundbeck SAS, Global Outcomes Research, Issy-les-Moulineaux, France; ⁴H. Lundbeck A/S, Clinical Research, Valby, Denmark

Objective: Data from randomized trials comparing treatment strategies in patients who were unresponsive to first-line antidepressant treatment are limited. Vortioxetine (Lu AA21004) is an investigational multimodal antidepressant thought to work through a combination of 2 pharmacological modes of action: serotonin (5-HT) receptor activity modulation and 5-HT reuptake inhibition [1,2]. This multi-national study compared the efficacy and tolerability of flexible-dose treatment with vortioxetine (10–20 mg/day) versus agomelatine (25–50 mg/day) in adult patients with major depressive disorder (MDD) who presented with an inadequate response to SSRI/SNRI monotherapy (citalopram, escitalopram, paroxetine, sertraline, duloxetine, venlafaxine), wanted to switch treatment and were, in the investigator's clinical opinion, candidates for a treatment switch from their current treatment.

Methods: This was a double-blind, randomized, 12-week comparator study. The primary efficacy endpoint was the change from baseline to Week 8 in Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) total score in the full-analysis set (FAS) analysed by mixed model repeated measures (MMRM) using a non-inferiority test. Pre-defined secondary efficacy endpoints included clinician-rated assessment of remission (MADRS total score ≤10), anxiety symptoms (Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale [HAM-A]), global clinical judgment using the Clinical Global Impression scales for severity (CGI-S) and improvement (CGI-I). It also included patient-reported outcomes assessing overall functioning (Sheehan Disability Scale [SDS]), health-related quality of

life (HRQoL, EuroQol [EQ-5D]), productivity (Work Limitation Questionnaire [WLQ]), and family functioning (Depression and Family Functioning Scale [DFFS]).

Results: Eligible patients were randomized (1:1) to vortioxetine (10–20 mg/day) or agomelatine (25–50 mg/day) for 12 weeks of double-blind treatment. On the primary efficacy endpoint, vortioxetine (n=252) was statistically significantly superior to agomelatine (n=241) (p<0.05) by 2.2 MADRS points. Significant differences in favour of vortioxetine were found for the MADRS, HAM-A, CGI-S and CGI-I from Week 4 onwards (FAS, MMRM; p<0.05) and robustness was confirmed by significant differences by ANCOVA (FAS, LOCF). Remission rates for vortioxetine versus agomelatine (LOCF) were 40.5% versus 29.5% (p=0.0054) at Week 8 and 55.2% versus 39.4% (p=0.0002) at Week 12. For patient-reported outcomes relating to functioning and HRQoL, significant differences in favour of vortioxetine were found for the SDS and the EQ-5D from Week 4 onwards, for the DFFS at Weeks 8 and 12 and for the WLQ at Week 8 (FAS, MMRM; p<0.05).

Robustness was confirmed by significant differences using ANCOVA (FAS, LOCF). Fewer patients withdrew due to adverse events with vortioxetine (5.9%) than agomelatine (9.5%). Adverse events with an incidence ≥5% with either treatment were nausea (16.2% vs 9.1%), headache (10.3% vs 13.2%), dizziness (7.1% vs 11.6%) and somnolence (4.0% vs 7.9%) for vortioxetine and agomelatine, respectively.

Conclusions: The primary efficacy endpoint of this comparator study was met, with vortioxetine also showing a significant benefit compared to agomelatine in difficult to treat MDD patients who directly switched antidepressant treatment after an inadequate response to SSRI/SNRI treatment. Statistically significant differences in favour of vortioxetine were seen for secondary efficacy outcomes including patient-reported outcomes that evaluated overall functioning and HRQoL. This study confirms that vortioxetine is efficacious and well-tolerated

References

- [1] Bang-Andersen B, Ruhland T, Jørgensen M, Smith G, Frederiksen K, Jensen KG, Zhong H, Nielsen SM, Hogg S, Mørk A, Stensbøl TB. 2011. Discovery of 1–2,4-dimethylphenylsulfanylphenylpiperazine (Lu AA21004): a novel multimodal compound for the treatment of major depressive disorder. *J Med Chem* 54, 3206–3221.
- [2] Westrich L, Pehrson A, Zhong H, Nielsen SM, Frederiksen K, Stensbøl TB, Boyle N, Hentzer M, Sanchez C. 2012. In vitro and in vivo effects of the multimodal antidepressant vortioxetine (Lu AA21004) at human and rat targets. *Int J Psychiatry Clinical Pract* 16, 47.

Disclosure statement: This study was funded by H Lundbeck A/S.

P.2.f.030 Are there differences in treatment of acute manic episodes in bipolar patients with or without comorbid borderline personality disorder?

D. Barral^{1*}, M. Castrillo¹, B. González¹, V. Sánchez², M. Rosique², L. López¹, N. Cruz³
¹Hospital Rodríguez Lafora, Psychiatry, Madrid, Spain; ²Hospital Rodríguez Lafora, Psychology, Madrid, Spain; ³Servicio de Psiquiatría Hospital de Álava. Victoria-Gasteiz, Psychiatry, Alava, Spain

Purpose of the study: To compare epidemiological, clinical and pharmacological endpoints in bipolar disorder patients (BD) hospitalized because manic episode with or without comorbid borderline personality disorder (BPD).